

It is expected that a Quorum of the Personnel Committee, Administration Committee, and Common Council will be attending this meeting: (although it is not expected that any official action of any of those bodies will be taken)

CITY OF MENASHA
Board of Public Works
Third Floor Council Chambers
140 Main Street, Menasha
April 5, 2010
6:45 PM
or immediately following the Administration Committee
AGENDA

- A. CALL TO ORDER
- B. ROLL CALL/EXCUSED ABSENCES
- C. MINUTES TO APPROVE
 - 1. [March 15, 2010](#)
- D. ACTION ITEMS
 - 1. [Authorization to Execute WisDOT Bridge Operation Agreement for Fiscal Year 2011](#)
 - 2. [Recommendation to Award Contract for Construction Manager Services \(Neeah-Menasha Fire Rescue\)](#)
- E. ADJOURNMENT

"Menasha is committed to its diverse population. Our Non-English speaking population and those with disabilities are invited to contact the Menasha City Clerk at 967-3603 24-hours in advance of the meeting for the City to arrange special accommodations."

CITY OF MENASHA
Board of Public Works
Third Floor Council Chambers
140 Main Street, Menasha
March 15, 2010
MINUTES

DRAFT

A. CALL TO ORDER

Meeting called to order by Chairman Taylor at 6:52 p.m.

B. ROLL CALL/EXCUSED ABSENCES

PRESENT: Alderman Pack, Hendricks, Zelinski, Englebert, Benner, Roush, Taylor, Wisneski
ALSO PRESENT: Mayor Merkes, CA/HRD Captain, PC Stanke, DPW Radtke, CDD Keil,
C/T Stoffel, Engineer Suprv Montour, Clerk Galeazzi and the Press.

C. MINUTES TO APPROVE

1. [March 1, 2010](#)

Moved by Alderman Pack, seconded by Alderman Englebert to approve minutes.
Motion carried on voice vote

D. ACTION ITEMS

1. [Street Use Application – Memorial Day Parade: Monday, May 31, 2010; 8:00 AM – 10:30 AM \(Neenah VFW Post 10893\)](#)

CA/HRD Captain verified that the street use application has been reviewed and approved by staff.

Moved by Alderman Roush, seconded by Alderman Wisneski to recommend approval to Common Council.

Motion carried on voice vote.

2. [Change Order – Vinton Construction Company; Midway Business Park; Contract Unit No. 2009-01; ADD: \\$6,099.96 \(Change Order No.1 and Final\)](#)

DPW Radtke explained the change order is for additional excavating in an area of poor subgrade soil, additional driveway removal and replacement to ensure proper drainage to the street, and the need to perform additional traffic control on Midway Road for safety purposes during one phase of the paving operations. The total cost of the project was below the budgeted amount.

Moved by Alderman Zelinski, seconded by Alderman Wisneski to recommend approval to Common Council.

Motion carried on voice vote.

3. [Payment – Vinton Construction Company; Midway Business Park; Contract Unit No. 2009-01; \\$16,102.73 \(Payment No. 5 and Final\)](#)

DPW Radtke explained this is the final payment for the construction of concrete street and sidewalk in the Midway Business Park. The project came in under budget.

Moved by Alderman Zelinski, seconded by Alderman Hendricks to recommend approval to Common Council.

Motion carried on voice vote.

4. [Establish Excavation Permit Fee Per O-3-10](#)

DPW Radtke explained a recent ordinance change gave the Board of Public Works the authority to set fees for excavation permits. The Common Council had previously set the fee at \$25. Staff is recommending the Board of Public Works establish the excavation permit fee at \$25.

Moved by Alderman Hendricks, seconded by Alderman Wisneski to set the excavation permit fee at \$25.

Motion carried on voice vote.

5. [Traffic Study – Conversion of the 600 Block of Broad Street from One-Way Traffic to Two-Way Traffic](#)

Engineer Supervisor Montour reported on the traffic study on Broad Street from Appleton Street to De Pere Street converting it from a one-way to a two-way. It is the recommendation of the engineering staff to keep this section of Broad Street as one-way traffic based on the the responses received from residents in that area. The Police Dept. and a majority of the residents that responded to the survey oppose changing the section of Broad Street from Racine Street to De Pere Street to two-way traffic.

Discussion ensued on installing stop signs at the intersection of Appleton Street and De Pere Street; the cost of installing traffic islands; how businesses in that area will be affected; safety issues; changing one block and not the other is a concern.

Moved by Alderman Roush, seconded by Alderman Pack to postpone the conversion of the 600 block of Broad Street from a one-way traffic to two-way until final disposition of item #6 (Conversion of Broad Street from one-way to two-way traffic)

Motion carried on voice vote.

6. [Traffic Study to Consider the Conversion of Broad Street from One Way to Two Way Traffic \(Ald. Zelinski\) \(No Action Taken at March 1, 2010 Meeting\)](#)

Engineer Supervisor Montour explained staff recommends that if any changes are going to be made it would be best to convert both blocks of Broad Street, from Racine Street to De Pere Street to two-way traffic. If this is done, then traffic islands should be installed at the intersection of Racine Street and Broad Street to allow only right turns onto Racine Street. The islands should be constructed to allow for semi-tractor size trucks to negotiate the turn without crossing the center line or overrunning the curb and sidewalk. Most of the residents that responded to the survey want to keep that corridor as one-way traffic.

Discussion ensued on safety issues for pedestrians; truck traffic in that area; increased traffic on Racine Street; should look at area of Broad Street, (Racine to De Pere) as a whole, not in pieces.

Moved by Alderman Zelinski, seconded by Alderman Pack to table conversion of Broad Street from one-way to two-way traffic until staff hears from downtown businesses about the installation of traffic islands and the costs.

Motion carried on voice vote.

E. ADJOURNMENT

Moved by Alderman Roush, seconded by Alderman Pack to adjourn at 7:52 p.m.

Motion carried on voice vote

BRIDGE OPERATION AGREEMENT
TAYCO STREET BRIDGE, (B-70-97)
RACINE STREET BRIDGE, (B-70-01)
WINNEBAGO COUNTY – WISCONSIN
0070-01-52
FISCAL 2011

MEMORANDUM OF AGREEMENT, made this ____ day of _____, 20____, by and between the State of Wisconsin, Department of Transportation, party of the first part, hereinafter called the State, and the City of Menasha, Winnebago County, Wisconsin represented by the Mayor and City Clerk, party of the second part, hereinafter called the City.

WITNESSETH: That for and in consideration of payments covering the operating costs of the Tayco Street Bridge and the Racine Street Bridge to be made by the State to the City as permitted under the provisions of Section 84.10 Statutes, said City agrees as follows:

- (1) To operate the bridges during the period of July 1, 2010, thru June 30, 2011, in accordance with the pertinent laws, rules and regulations governing navigation, pedestrian and vehicular traffic.
- (2) To provide operating personnel as described in subparagraphs (2a) through (2g) and as may be otherwise affected herein, all in accordance with existing City policies, procedures and the provisions of applicable labor agreements.
 - (2a) To employ the services of up to six (6) operators, up to four (4) full time and up to two (2) part time during the navigation season. The State will notify the City each year of the start and ending days of the navigation season. The operators will be considered as seasonal employees eligible for unemployment compensation during the non-navigation period. The hours and dates of navigation will be defined by the Commander, Ninth Coast Guard District.
 - (2b) To employ the services of a substitute operator if needed, as determined by the City, who shall serve on both bridges during vacation periods, periods of illness, and for other periods as may be deemed appropriate, and to minimize the need for premium overtime pay.
 - (2c) To establish the monthly or hourly salaries and bonus payment, if any, to be paid to the operators subject to the review and approval of the State. A certified copy of the action by the governing body establishing such salaries and bonus payments, if any, shall be filed with the State at the time the agreement is returned for execution by the State. The City may alter the salaries and provide for or alter bonus payments during the life of this agreement in the event it is necessary to make adjustments on account of changing economic conditions. A certified copy of the action to alter salaries or to provide for or alter bonus payments shall be filed with the State and will be subject to the approval of the State.
 - (2d) To notify the State, as to the name, address and telephone number, if any, of each of the operators. The City Street Superintendent shall be in

charge of all operators and he shall receive and carry out such orders or instructions as received pertaining to the proper operation and care of the bridge. The State shall reimburse the City for that portion of time that the Street Superintendent spends checking the operators and the bridges.

- (2e) Except as stated below, no operator shall work more than eight (8) hours in any twenty-four (24) hours or 40 hours in any week. During periods of emergencies operators shall work not more than twelve (12) hours each in any twenty-four (24) hours. When an operator is to be absent from duty, the State shall be notified by the Street Superintendent and advised as to the probable extent of such absence. The Street Superintendent shall review each bridge operator's building weekly after the navigation season is closed to check for appropriate heat.
- (2f) To grant such vacation and sick leave with pay to each operator as may be due in accordance with applicable rules and regulations governing the matter of vacation and sick leave for other employees of the City covered by a labor agreement with Local 1035. A certified current copy of the action governing same shall be filed with the State at the time the agreement is returned for execution by the State. Vacations charged to the State shall be prorated according to the number of months served per year by the employees as bridge operator.
- (2g) To terminate the service of any operator when it can be shown that the operator is negligent in his/her duty or is otherwise conducting himself in a manner detrimental to the best interest of the State and/or the City as provided in the collective bargaining covering full time non-probationary bridge tenders.
- (3) To assume the cost of roadway lighting system and snow and ice removal and control.
- (4) To make such reports and records as may be required by the City and/or the State.
- (5) To furnish the necessary supplies subject to reimbursement by the State except for such items as may be furnished directly by the State.
- (6) To immediately notify the State in case of any emergency.
- (7) To submit a monthly signed statement, in duplicate, on official invoice or letterhead form for reimbursement of operation costs to the Transportation Region Office, State of Wisconsin, at Green Bay, Wisconsin. Statements are to be submitted no later than fifteenth (15) of the following month and are to include all the costs for the preceding calendar month, together with a copy of electric bills on utility company forms. Each bridge should be listed separately but may be submitted on one (1) sheet. Statements will include the cost of premiums on worker's compensation insurance, liability insurance, and contributions made by the City to its unemployment reserve account in the event that the City is operating under the provisions of Chapter 108, Wisconsin Statutes. Statements

may include contributions made to employee retirement or made to employee retirement under the provisions of Chapter 66, Wisconsin Statutes.

(8) The amount of this agreement is estimated at \$136,000.

In connection with the performance of work under this contract the City agrees not to discriminate against any employee or applicant for employment because of age, race, religion, color, handicap, sex, developmental disability as defined in Statute 51.01 (5), sexual orientation as defined in Statute 111.32 (13m) or national origin. Employees must meet qualifications and requirements as specified by the Wisconsin Department of Transportation. This provision shall include, but not be limited to, the following: employment, upgrading, demotion or transfer; recruitment or recruitment advertising; layoff or termination; rates of pay or other forms of compensation; and selection for training including apprenticeship. Except with respect to sexual orientation, the City further agrees to take affirmative action to ensure equal employment opportunities. The City agrees to post in conspicuous places, available for employees and applicants for employment, notices to be provided by the contracting officer setting forth the provisions of the nondiscrimination clause.

And the said State, in consideration of the faithful performance by the City of the conditions as outlined, agrees to reimburse the said City upon submission of invoice covering said operating costs. The State reserves the right, if necessary, to make inquiries to verify the items in the invoice.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties have hereunto affixed their signatures, the City on the day and year above written and the State on the ____ day of _____, 20____.

STATE OF WISCONSIN
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

By _____
Northeast Region Transportation Director

By _____
DIV. Of Transportation Systems Development
Director, Bureau Highway Operations

CITY OF MENASHA
WINNEBAGO COUNTY
WISCONSIN

By _____
Mayor

By _____
City Clerk

Approved

PA 3-31-2010

City Attorney

**Neenah Menasha Fire Rescue – Station 36 Building Project
RFP (Request for Proposal) Construction Manager Services Review**

Neenah-Menasha Fire Rescue received proposals from six (6) Construction Management firms in response to our RFP. Proposals were due on March 19, 2010. Per our review, following the requested information as stated on our RFP, the following recommendation is suggested based on the rules required by the FEMA procurement process for this grant award.

Recommendation:

Based on following the requirements of FEMA and their procurement requirements. We are required to go with the vendor that meets the requirements of the RFP and is low price. Two of the vendors fully met the RFP; their prices were as follows:

Miron Construction, \$118,335.00 (also lower than the other four proposals that included pricing).

Zeise Construction, \$156,992.00

Not fully meeting RFP:

Boldt Construction met all components of the RFP however, left out their pricing.

Badgerland Building did not meet all requirements of the RFP and their price was \$121,800.00

Creative Constructors missed out on some minor aspects of the RFP and their price was \$147,500.00.

Stevens Construction did not fully meet the RFP requirements, particularly in pricing. They did not provide the fixed CM expense. They provided a percentage based proposal and hourly rate. The hourly rates were almost 50% higher than that of Zeise and Miron. Based on the percentage based system Stevens price would be \$103,350 plus project fee of \$26,500 2% for a total expense of \$129,850.00.

Miron Construction meets all aspects of the RFP, showing no concerning factor for this project, is low bid and is recommended for the Construction Manager Services. Reference checks were conducted by telephone with no issues or concerns, all references recommended Miron Construction for future work.

**Neenah Menasha Fire Rescue – Station 36 Building Project
RFP (Request for Proposal) Construction Manager Services Review**

Badgerland Buildings, Inc.-

Strengths:

- Experience in Fire Station construction. However, does not discuss their role in the project. No reference to performing CM role.

Weaknesses:

- Smallest of all contractors in regard to bonding limits.
- Did not provide “% of Annual Volume by Market” or “Annual Construction on Volume in Dollars”.
- Provided a Fixed Fee, as requested, but it was for a station built at a lesser dollar amount (\$500,000 -\$800,000). They recommended us to use a % amount which is 8%. Based on our grant amount of \$1,326,000 it would be \$106,080.00. They do state, “that the more complex the design the more time will be needed therefore the higher cost of managing”. They are leaving their proposal price open for possible increases in the cost of their services. They also range their general conditions cost of \$15,000 to \$30,000. This added to the percentage above comes to \$121,800 at a minimum.
- Constructability Review does not discuss NMFR’s involvement or how we would be involved.
- Only list one LEED/Green project in the proposal.
- They did not meet or provide all of the requirements of the RFP: Constructability reviews, Qualification Statement, Components of Fees, Specify Reimbursable Expenses, Cost estimating portions, and no schedule of hourly billing rates.

Badgerland Builders did not comply with all requests of the RFP, therefore, should not continue on in the process for CM.

Boldt Construction Company –

Strengths:

- Extensive list of LEED/Green experienced staff and projects.
- Experience in Fire Station construction.
- Good Pre-construction and Constructability Review process.

Weaknesses:

- Did not provide any fees or pricing with their proposal.

Boldt Construction Company met all of the requirements of the RFP and they showed no areas of concern with meeting our requirements for the Construction Manager Services, however they did not provide pricing.

Creative Constructors, LLC –

Strengths:

- Owner Direct Purchase program.

Weaknesses:

- No LEED accredited personnel on staff or suggestion on how they will provide that personnel from an off-site vendor.

**Neenah Menasha Fire Rescue – Station 36 Building Project
RFP (Request for Proposal) Construction Manager Services Review**

- Will conduct the bidding out process, however, they do not suggest that they will complete the review of these bid, just that the bids will be address to NMFR and opened by NMFR.
- Fixed Fee \$50,000, On-Site Supervisor \$78,000, General Conditions estimate \$19,500.00 for a total expense of \$147,500.00.
- They did not meet or provide all of the information requirements of the RFP: AAFAR, 48 CFR Part 25 requirements, EHP law, Davis Bacon Act, or address familiarity of the AFG FEMA grant regulations.

Creative Constructors LLC did miss some items in the RFP that were requested. Their pricing also came in as a higher price then those meeting all components of the RFP.

Miron Construction –

Strengths:

- Experience in Fire Stations, 20 fire stations in last 100 years.
- Familiar with City of Menasha in past project, with good previous experience.
- “Building Information Modeling” system to help with the design and construction process. Including LEED certification modeling.
- Separate-Entity purchase (advantage purchasing) to take advantage of our tax-exempt status and simplify payments, at no additional cost.
- No reimbursable expenses due do to proximity to building site.
- Extensive “Green Building” and LEED accredited projects and 59 accredited personnel on staff.
- Met RFP requirements 100%.
- **Lowest price that meets all requirements of RFP. \$91,835 Fixed CM and \$26,500 2% = \$118,335.00**

Weaknesses:

- No weaknesses of concern were shown in Miron’s proposal.

Miron Construction met all of the requirements of the RFP and shows no deficiencies in meeting our requirements of the Construction Manager Services.

Stevens Construction Corp.-

Strengths:

- Experience in Fire Station construction.
- Direct purchase order/tax-exempt program.
- LEED project experience, with on staff personnel.

Weaknesses:

- No fixed “Fixed CM Services” costs.
- Bid fees on an hourly bases (which was higher then the low bid Miron Construction). Hourly rates average 50% higher.
- Constructability Reviews listed, however, does not say where they would be conducted. If they were conducted at our location I would assume we would have to pay their hourly rates for them to travel from Madison.

**Neenah Menasha Fire Rescue – Station 36 Building Project
RFP (Request for Proposal) Construction Manager Services Review**

- Never listed their intent on complying with our requirements of ARRA & CCR, EHP Law compliance, Davis-Bacon Act Comp., Open proposal process, or becoming familiar with the grant process.

Stevens Construction Corp. did not fully comply with our proposal request when they did not provide a Fixed CM Service cost or provide compliance to FEMA requirements in the process. Therefore, per our RFP document, they are not to move on for further consideration.

Zeise Construction –

Strengths:

- Proposal includes strong experience in Municipal/Fire Station business.
- LEED accredited person on staff and assigned to project.
- Direct Purchase Order available to meet tax-exempt requirements.
- Good Safety record/program.

Weaknesses:

- Fixed fee for CM services \$119,920.00 General Conditions plus \$37,072 2.8% fee for a total expense of \$156,992.00.

Zeise Construction met all aspects of the RFP. Their only weakness is the fees were higher than those of others that also meet the RFP.

Request for Proposals - Construction Management Services

Project: Neenah Menasha Fire Rescue (NMFR) is seeking proposals for construction management services to assist in the construction of a new fire station in the City of Menasha. With funding from FEMA under the Assistance to Firefighter Grant Program – Station Construction Grant, this new station construction will follow all guidelines within this program and will fall within the budget approved in the original application. The new fire station will have an approximate square footage of 7,908, and will be built within ICC standards and NFPA standards as required within the grant.

Proposal Submittal: Firms should submit five (5) copies of the proposal in a sealed envelope addressed to the “Grant Administrator/Project Management Services”, and clearly marked “Station 36 - Fire Station Construction Project – Construction Management Services Proposal”. **Proposals will be received until 1:00 pm local time, on March 19, 2010.** Proposals can be sent to or dropped off at Neenah Menasha Fire Rescue, Fire Station 32, 125 E. Columbian Ave, Neenah, WI 54956. Proposals will be opened after that time and reviewed by the department. There will be no public opening of the proposals. A recommendation will be given to the City of Menasha Common Council following staff review. Envelopes containing proposals which are received after the date and time stated above will be returned unopened.

Proposal Rejection: The Owner reserves the right to reject any and all proposals, waive any informality in the process, and accept the proposal that best serves the interests of NMFR and the City of Menasha.

Withdrawal of Proposal: No proposal shall be withdrawn for a period of thirty (30) days after the scheduled opening without the consent of the NMFR and the City of Menasha.

Proposal Requirements: The following information shall be provided and proposals shall be based on the guidelines listed.

1. Proposing firm shall provide the following information:
 - Annual construction volume in dollars
 - Percentage of annual volume by markets (specifically related to fire station construction).
 - Current financial documents
 - Bonding capacity (include a letter from your bonding company).
 - Date first organized and time in related projects.
 - Insurance coverage (provide a statement from your insurance company).
2. Provide an organizational chart graphically indicating how your firm would structure and staff the proposed team. List specific personnel proposed for the project team with resumes and references. Indicate the project assignment and responsibilities of each individual.

3. Describe your firm's experience in the management and construction of relevant types of facilities over the last five years. Provide a listing of projects along with references and contact information.
4. Indicate which elements of work your firm would be capable of performing beyond construction management services, if any, but not required. If your firm does, describe your experience and capabilities at performing this trade work.
5. Describe how your firm conducts constructability reviews.
6. Describe how your firm will manage material purchases to take advantage of the tax-exempt status of the Owner.
7. Include a copy of *AIA Document A305, Contractor's Qualification Statement*.
8. Comment on components of fee, and what is included in the fee. List the reimbursable expenses not included in the fee and provide an estimated cost of general conditions. Discuss the cost estimating portion of your services and how it is handled, in-house or through an outside firm.
 - Provide a fixed or "not to exceed" fee for construction management services
 - Specify reimbursable expenses
 - Provide a current schedule of hourly billing rates for any proposals including a time and expense basis component.
9. List your experiences on managing a LEED Project (Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design) and "Guiding Principles for Sustainable New Construction and Major Renovations" as required in Executive Order 13423.
10. List your firm's safety program information for a project of this type.
11. List the location of the project team's office and how you would address site visits and meetings with the Fire Department's Project Manager or Fire Chief.
12. The selected construction management firm will be required to report under the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA) and be registered under the Central Contractors Registration (CCR), following all guidelines and regulations set forth under these programs.
13. The selected construction management firm will be required to follow the Buy American Act and Federal Acquisition Regulations, 48 CFR Part 25.
14. The selected construction management firm must comply with applicable Federal EHP Laws, Regulations, and Executive Orders.
15. The selected construction management firm must comply with the Davis-Bacon Act.
16. The selected construction management firm must follow an open proposal process in all stages of this project in a manner to provide to the maximum extent practical, open and free competition.
17. The selected construction management firm must become familiar with the Fire Department's application for the "Station Construction Grant" and make sure the project follows the application as written.

Scope of Services: The construction management firm shall provide professional services to assist the NMFR with the construction of a new fire station in the City of Menasha. The tasks involved in this work are as described herein and shall be provided by the construction management firm.

Task 1.01 - Meet with NMFR to determine project requirements, provide updates of the project progress, and discuss any relevant issues concerning the project.

Task 1.02 - Ensure compliance with the federal regulations associated with the ARRA throughout the duration of this project.

Task 1.03 - Provide construction management services for the construction of a new fire station with an approximate square footage of 7,910, to be constructed in compliance with ICC and NFPA standards.

Task 1.04 - It is anticipated NMFR will prepare the Request for Proposals (RFP) for the architectural design services to complete the fire station building plans and specifications. The construction management firm shall review the RFP prior to release and assist NMFR in the review of all proposals received as well as the recommendation of the selected architectural design firm. It is NMFR's goal to achieve a LEED Silver designation for this project. The construction management firm shall assist NMFR in determining if that goal will be met with the selected architectural design firm.

Task 1.05 - Present proposed station building/site plans at a City of Menasha Common Council meeting.

Task 1.06 - Prepare the proposal documents for the fire station construction in accordance with FEMA requirements. Complete all necessary proposal advertising and conduct proposal opening procedures per Wisconsin State Statutes and FEMA requirements.

Task 1.07 - Review all proposals for accuracy, prepare proposal tabulation and prepare a written recommendation to award letter for NMFR.

Task 1.08 - Conduct a pre-construction conference with the contractor, NMFR representatives and other necessary and/or interested parties in advance of the start of construction of the fire station.

Task 1.09 - Provide on site construction oversight necessary to assure compliance with plans and specifications and all federal regulations.

Task 1.10 - Review all contractor's requests for payment and make recommendation for payment to NMFR. Review and prepare change orders as necessary throughout the duration of the project.

Task 1.11 - Conduct a pre-final inspection of the fire station upon completion and prepare any necessary punch list of corrections or insufficiencies.

Task 1.12 - Conduct final inspection of completed fire station to ensure complete satisfaction of all construction items.

Proposal Evaluation – Those firms that meet all of the Proposal Requirements and Scope of Services will continue on and be evaluated in the following areas; experience, fee schedule, background in similar projects, references, and degree in meeting the scope of this RFP.

Any questions to this "Request for Proposal" can be address to the following:

Dan Schultz

Shift Commander

Grant Administrator/Project Manager

Neenah Menasha Fire Rescue

Dschultz@nmfire.org

(920) 886-6200

CONSTRUCTION MANAGER BID REVIEW

Company	Received On Time	Five Copies	Annual Construction Volume in Dollars	% of Annual Volume by markets	Current Finance Documents	Bonding Letter/Capability	Date Organized & Time Related Projects	Insurance Coverage & Verification	Organizational Chart w/ add'l Info.
Badgerland Buildings, Inc.	X	X	Not listed	Not listed	X	\$1M/\$3M	1993/no Fire Station Exp.	X	X
Boldt	X	X	X	X	X	\$3M	1889/Fire Station Experience	X	X
Creative Constructors	X	X	X	X	X	\$3M/\$6M	1999/Fire Station Exp.	X	X
Miron Company, Inc.*	X	X	X	X	X	Yes	1918/Fire Station Exp.	X	X
Stevens Construction Corp.	X	X	X	X	X	Yes	1952/Fire Station Exp.	X	X
Zeise Construction *	X	X	X	X	X	X	1945/Fire Station Exp.	X	X

*** NOTE: Complete proposals from vendors who met requirements of the RFP are available for review in the Engineering Department, Se**

CONSTRUCTION MANAGER BID REVIEW

Company	Firms Exp.	Elements of Firms Work	Conducts Construtability Review	Manage material purchases	Doc A305	Qualification Statement	Components of Fee	Reimbursable expenses not included	Estimate Cost/General Conditions
Badgerland Buildings, Inc.	X	No CM Reference	Not Acceptable	X	X	Not included	No Fee Breakdown	Not included	Not Included
Boldt	X	X	X	X	X	X	Not Included	Not included	Not Included
Creative Constructors	X	N/A	X	X	X	X	X	X	x
Miron Company, Inc.	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	Costs are included in CM fees	X
Stevens Construction Corp.	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Zeise Construction	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X

cond Floor City Hall

CONSTRUCTION MANAGER BID REVIEW

Company	EHP Law, Reg & E.O. Comp.	Davis-Bacon Act Comp.	Open Proposal Process	Familiar with Station Grant	References					
Badgerland Buildings, Inc.	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	X					
Boldt	Not Included	Not Included	Not Included	Not Included	x					
Creative Constructors	Not Included	Not Included	Not Included	Not Included	X					
Miron Company, Inc.	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	X					
Stevens Construction Corp.	?	?	?	?	X					
Zeise Construction	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	X					